



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_PRR1_3630
Title of the Manuscript:	Power Transformer Life Management; Relevance to Nigerian Power Industry

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper discusses on an important and relevant issue in Nigerian power sector. The study is timely. Mean while, major revision is necessary. First and foremost, the author did not set up the objective of the study after the introduction/ background. This was mentioned in the abstract. Apart from this, majority of the references are from national dailies, as science/engineering base paper to be circulated world- wide, this is not ok. There are some grammatical/ typos errors to be corrected. These are indicated in the text.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The objective of the study has been included after background study as highlighted. • The proposed project is to solve a national problem and this problem is reported in national dailies. The national daily references are included in the background study which traced the frequency of electricity breakdown. To the best of my knowledge, no available professional or technical publication that report the frequency of electricity failure in Nigeria. So, we have to rely on the report from national dailies which is provided with the URL. • The typo errors have been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		